Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.015$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.82 {\rm e}{\rm \AA}^{-3}~(< 1.3{\rm \AA}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.106$	from Pt)
S = 1.283	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -3.05 {\rm e} {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
1076 reflections	(< 1.3 Å from Pt)
60 parameters	Extinction correction: none
Only H-atom U's refined	Scattering factors from
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0673P)^2]$	International Tables for
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Ρι	0	0	1/2	0.0260 (2)
C111	-0.2011 (2)	0.03781 (8)	0.2642 (2)	0.0410 (3)
C112	-0.1139 (2)	-0.13918 (11)	1/2	0.0385 (4)
Cl2	0.0512(4)	-0.3695 (2)	1/2	0.0610(7)
N1	0.3991 (9)	-0.3520 (4)	1/2	0.046 (2)
C5	0.5245(11)	-0.2872 (8)	1/2	0.047 (2)
C2	0.2438 (10)	-0.3162(5)	1/2	0.037 (2)
N3	0.2619 (10)	-0.2335 (5)	1/2	0.057 (2)
C4	0.4368 (13)	-0.2125 (6)	1/2	0.046 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pt—C111	2.319 (1)	N1—C5	1.385 (13)
Pt—C112	2.328 (2)	C5—C4	1.338 (14)
C12—C2	1.681 (8)	C2—N3	1.292 (10)
N1—C2	1.305 (10)	N3—C4	1.368 (12)
Cl11—Pt—Cl11 ⁱ Cl11—Pt—Cl12 C2—N1—C5 C4—C5—N1 N3—C2—N1	89.80 (7) 89.44 (5) 108.2 (7) 106.7 (8) 109.1 (8)	N3—C2—Cl2 N1—C2—Cl2 C2—N3—C4 C5—C4—N3	125.6 (7) 125.2 (6) 109.9 (7) 106.1 (8)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, z.

Data collection: local program (Zanotti, 1983). Cell refinement: local program. Data reduction: local program. Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*93 and *PARST*83 (Nardelli, 1983).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: MU1347). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Ohba, S. & Saito, Y. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1639-1641.
- Rau, F., Klement, U. & Range, K. J. (1995). Z. Kristallogr. 210, 684. Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Valle, G. & Ettorre, R. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 919-921.
- Valle, G., Ettorre, R. & Sánchez González, A. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 1392-1394.

© 1998 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Valle, G., Ettorre, R. & Sánchez González, A. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1298-1300.

Zanotti, G. (1983). Local Program for the PW1100 Diffractometer. University of Padova, Italy.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 449-451

Bis(acetylacetonato-O, O')(η^4 -trans-2,4-hexadiene)ruthenium(II) 1/6-Ethanol Solvate

ENRIQUE MELÉNDEZ,^{*a**} RAIMUNDO ILARRAZA,^{*b*} GLENN P. A. YAP^{*c**} AND ARNOLD L. RHEINGOLD^{*d**}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Puerto Rico, PO Box 9019, Mayaquez, Puerto Rico 00681, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Inter American University of Puerto Rico, PO Box 191293, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00919-1293, ^cDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada, and ^dDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. E-mail: e.melendez@rumac.upr. clu.edu

(Received 23 April 1997; accepted 17 November 1997)

Abstract

The title compound, $[Ru(C_5H_7O_2)_2(C_6H_{10})].1/6C_2H_6O$, has a pseudo-octahedrally coordinated Ru^{II} center, where two coordination sites are occupied by a diene ligand. The diene ligand exhibits a η^4 -trans-diene coordination mode. The compound crystallizes in space group $R\bar{3}$, incorporating disordered ethanol in cylindrical voids.

Comment

Current interest in transition metal diene complexes arises from their potential use as synthetic intermediates in the preparation of non-trivial products that are not readily accessible by conventional organic synthesis methods. The relationship between conformation and reactivity patterns of diene ligands attached to metal centers has been studied for many years. In fact, it has been demonstrated that there exist distinct reactivity profiles between a conjugated diene coordinated in a *cis versus* a *trans* conformation (Yasuda & Nakamura, 1987; Nakamura *et al.*, 1983).

While structural studies of metal complexes containing η^4 -cis-dienes are extensive, structural data concerning η^4 -trans-diene coordination are limited (Erker et al., 1980, 1985; Kai et al., 1982; Hunter et al., 1985; Meléndez et al., 1988, 1996; Ernst et al., 1991; Sugaya et al., 1996). As part of our research program aimed at the elucidation of structure and reactivity relationships of metal-diene complexes, we have carried out an X-ray single-crystal analysis of Ru(acac)₂(η^4 -2,4-hexadiene).-1/6-ethanol, (1).



The X-ray analysis of (1) shows that the Ru center has pseudo-octahedral coordination geometry, two coordination sites being occupied by the diene ligand, which adopts the less common η^4 -trans-diene coordination mode. The intraligand (*cis*) Ru-acac angles [O2-Ru-O1 92.6 (2) and O3-Ru-O4 92.83 (14)°] are slightly larger than expected (90°). On the other hand, the interligand *cis* and *trans* angles deviate substantially, showing contractions, from the ideal values of 180 and 90°; the *trans* O2-Ru-O4 angle is 176.06 (15), while the *cis* angles are O2-Ru-O3 83.82 (14), O1-Ru-O3 83.02 (15) and O1-Ru-O4 85.0 (2)°.

The diene ligand is non-planar with a C12—C13— C14—C15 torsion angle of 124 (1)°, which is similar to the typical torsion angles for η^4 -trans diene coordination [122–127° (Kai *et al.*, 1982; Hunter *et al.*, 1985; Meléndez *et al.*, 1988, 1996; Ernst *et al.*, 1991; Erker *et al.*, 1985)]. The C—C bond distances within the diene follow a short–long–short pattern. The Ru—C bond distances of the Ru–diene interaction reveal a pattern of Ru—C(internal) < Ru—C(terminal). Interestingly, even



Fig. 1. The solid-state structure of Ru(acac)₂(η^4 -trans-2,4-hexadiene) drawn with 35% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms and co-crystallized solvent have been omitted for clarity.

though the diene is attached in the *trans* conformation, it has similar C—C and Ru—C bonding patterns to those of the η^4 -cis-diene coordination of a previously reported Ru(acac)₂(1,3-cyclohexadiene) complex (Ernst *et al.*, 1991).

In the packing of the unit cell, circular arrays of six complex molecules are stacked throughout the crystal lattice, thus creating cylindrical void spaces. This void volume in the lattice is occupied by co-crystallized ethanol, disordered both rotationally along its axis and end-for-end with the methyl C atom located at an inversion point.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to a published procedure (Ernst *et al.*, 1991), substituting anhydrous ethanol by 95% ethanol. After crystallization in hexane at 268 K for 24 h, orange single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained. Spectroscopic data are identical to those published previously (Ernst *et al.*, 1991).

Crystal data

$[Ru(C_5H_7O_2)_2(C_6H_{10})]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$1/6C_{2}H_{6}O$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
$M_r = 389.10$	Cell parameters from 24
Trigonal	reflections
R3	$\theta = 10 - 12^{\circ}$
a = 31.877(7) Å	$\mu = 0.847 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.6140(10) Å	T = 296 (2) K
$V = 8460(3) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism
Z = 18	$0.40 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm
$D_x = 1.375 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Orange
D_m not measured	-

Data collection

Siemens P4 diffractometer	R_{int}
$\theta - 2\theta$ scans	θ_{max}
Absorption correction:	h =
ψ scan (North <i>et al.</i> ,	<i>k</i> =
1968)	<i>l</i> =
$T_{\rm min} = 0.647, T_{\rm max} = 0.776$	3 st
6446 measured reflections	e
4311 independent reflections	iı
2687 reflections with	
$I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.051$ $wR(F^2) = 0.126$ S = 1.0184306 reflections 198 parameters H atoms constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0465P)^2 + 3.3198P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $\begin{aligned} R_{int} &= 0.048\\ P_{max} &= 27.50^{\circ}\\ a &= -35 \rightarrow 32\\ c &= -41 \rightarrow 0\\ a &= 0 \rightarrow 12\\ B &= 0 \rightarrow 1$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.498 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.470 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalentisotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Ru	0.440237 (14)	0.121027 (14)	0.61647 (4)	0.03826 (14)
01	0.49816(13)	0.15941 (13)	0.4853 (4)	0.0553 (10)
O2	0.46300(13)	0.07303 (12)	0.6680 (4)	0.0475 (9)
O3	0.48904 (12)	0.16375 (13)	0.7663 (4)	0.0492 (9)
O4	0.42223 (14)	0.17271 (13)	0.5682 (4)	0.0515 (9)
CI	0.5672 (2)	0.1783 (3)	0.3541 (8)	0.094 (3)
C2	0.5287 (2)	0.1454 (2)	0.4572 (6)	0.059 (2)
C3	0.5289 (2)	0.1049 (2)	0.5114 (7)	0.066 (2)
C4	0.4991 (2)	0.0732 (2)	0.6116 (6)	0.0534 (15)
C5	0.5087 (2)	0.0342 (2)	0.6653 (7)	0.077 (2)
C6	0.5284 (3)	0.2286 (2)	0.9222 (7)	0.085 (2)
C7	0.4936 (2)	0.2041 (2)	0.8052 (6)	0.0549 (15)
C8	0.4686 (2)	0.2260 (2)	0.7495 (7)	0.059 (2)
С9	0.4366 (2)	0.2110 (2)	0.6385 (6)	0.0527 (14)
C10	0.4155 (3)	0.2422 (2)	0.5959 (8)	0.085 (2)
C11	0.3923 (2)	0.0904 (2)	0.9246 (6)	0.061 (2)
C12	0.3817 (2)	0.0967 (2)	0.7774 (6)	0.0509 (14)
C13	0.3726 (2)	0.0625 (2)	0.6754 (6)	0.0489 (13)
C14	0.3708 (2)	0.0754 (2)	0.5341 (6)	0.0512 (14)
C15	0.4012 (2)	0.0718 (2)	0.4395 (6)	0.0566 (15)
C16	0.4085 (3)	0.0903 (3)	0.2932 (6)	0.083 (2)
O91†	0	0	0.2812 (5)	0.25(1)
C92†	0	0	1.854 (8)	0.27 (5)
C93	0	0	0	0.26 (3)

 \dagger Site occupancy = 0.50.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ru—O4	2.051 (3)	RuC12	2.242 (5)
Ru—O2	2.055 (3)	C9C10	1.509 (8)
Ru03	2.057 (3)	C11C12	1.491 (7)
Ru—O1	2.058 (4)	C12-C13	1.385 (7)
Ru	2.104 (5)	C13C14	1.429 (7)
Ru-C13	2.104 (5)	C14C15	1.376 (7)
Ru-C15	2.226 (5)	C15-C16	1.498 (8)
O4RuO2	176.06 (15)	O3-Ru-O1	83.02 (15)
O4RuO3	92.83 (14)	C13-C12-C11	122.6 (5)
O2RuO3	83.82 (14)	C12-C13-C14	118.0 (5)
O4RuO1	85.0(2)	C15C14C13	118.2 (5)
O2-Ru-O1	92.6 (2)	C14-C15-C16	124.3 (6)

Three peaks in the penultimate difference map not associated with the complex were found in a cylindrical void along the threefold axis having an approximate diameter of 5 Å, each peak with an electron density of $1.4 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. These peaks were assigned as a disordered co-crystallized ethanol molecule of solvation. The disordered solvent molecule was refined without H atoms. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The remaining H atoms were treated as idealized contributions constrained to the attached non-H atom using a riding model.

All software and sources of the scattering factors are contained in the *SHELXTL* (5.03) program library (Sheldrick, 1996).

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health, MBRS Program, for financial support of this work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FG1341). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Erker, G., Krüger, C. & Müller, G. (1985). Adv. Organomet. Chem. 24, 1-39.
- Erker, G., Wicher, J., Engel, K., Rosenfelt, F., Dietrich, W. & Kruger, C. (1980). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 102, 6344–6346.
- Ernst, R. D., Meléndez, E., Stahl, L. & Ziegler, M. L. (1991). Organometallics, 10, 3635-3642.
- Hunter, A. D., Legzdins, P., Nurse, C. R., Einstein, F. W. B. & Willis, A. C. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 1791-1792.
- Kai, Y., Kanehisa, N., Miki, K., Kasai, N., Mashima, K., Nagasuna, K., Yasuda, H. & Nakamura, A. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 191-192.
- Meléndez, E., Arif, A. M., Rheingold, A. L. & Ernst, R. D. (1988). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 8703-8704.
- Meléndez, E., Ilarraza, R., Yap, G. P. A. & Rheingold, A. L. (1996). J. Organomet. Chem. 522, 1–7.
- Nakamura, A., Yasuda, H., Tatsumi, K., Mashima, K., Akita, M., & Nagasuna, K. (1983). Organometallic Compounds, Synthesis, Structure and Theory, Vol. 1, edited by B. L. Shapiro, pp. 29–45. Austin: Texas A&M University Press.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SHELXTL. Version 5.03. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sugaya, T., Tomita, A., Sago, H. & Sano, M. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 2692–2694.
- Yasuda, H. & Nakamura, A. (1987). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 26, 723-742.

Acta Cryst. (1998). C54, 451-453

catena-Poly[[(di-2-pyridyl-*N*-amine)-(propionato-*O*,*O*')copper(II)]-µ-nitrato-*O*:*O*']

Sujittra Youngme,^{*a*} Chaveng Pakawatchai^{*b*} and Hoong-Kun Fun^{*c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat Yai 90112, Thailand, and ^cX-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia. E-mail: sujittra@kku1.kku.ac.th

(Received 24 September 1997; accepted 11 November 1997)

Abstract

The title compound, $[Cu(NO_3)(C_3H_5O_2)(C_{10}H_9N_3)]$, contains infinite chains of a six-coordinate $CuN_2O_2O'_2$ chromophore. The molecular chromophore has a distorted octahedral structure, with symmetrically bonding di-2-pyridylamine (dpyam) and bidentate propionate groups defining an equatorial O_2N_2 plane, and axial O